HCAOG Fiscal and Compliance Auditor

Tready Fiscal and Comphanic Addition				Scoring
Criteria	Points	Davis Farr	Vasquez	Team
Consultant's understanding of the project objectives and		32.0	27.0	AE
requirements request		32.0	26.0	KC
Completeness and clarity of responses to the specific	15	14.0	12.0	A E
requirements of scope of work; demonstrated				
knowledge of the required work.		13.0	11.0	
Demonstrated Knowledge of requirements of TDA 10	9.0	7.0		
		12.0	10.0	
Demonstrated knowledge of requirements of GASB 68 and GASB 75	10	9.0	8.0	
		7.0		KC
	Average Score	32.0	26.5	
Proposed work plan and schedule by task. Practices to				
ensure clear communication and coordination between	35	31.0	25.0	AE
the consultant and HCAOG. Cost effectiveness and		31.0	23.0	1112
adherence to available budget providing the best value				
of services offered.		29.0	24.0	KC
Proposed work plan and schedule including timeline for	10	10.0	10.0	
delivery of reports	10	8.0	6.0	
A description of requirements (documentation) needed	5	3.0	3.0	
from agencies prior to field visits		3.0		KC
Cost effectiveness and proposed budget providing the	20	18.0	12.0	
best value of services offered		18.0	15.0	KC
	Average Score	30.0	24.5	
Firm's or project team's (including subconsultants) qualifications. Firm's (or team's) relevant current and overall experience with similar projects.	30	28.0	25.0	AE
		25.0	23.0	KC
Inclusion of resumes of all persons assigned to work on	on 5	5.0	5.0	AE
the project	3	5.0	5.0	KC
Experience with projects of similar scope and	Experience with projects of similar scope and complexity	13.0	10.0	AE
complexity		12.0	11.0	KC
Firm's record of performance with other government	m's record of performance with other government agencies 5	5.0	5.0	AE
agencies		4.0	4.0	KC
Firm's references	5	5.0	5.0	AE
		4.0	3.0	KC
	Average Score	26.5	24.0	
	. ~	99.50	75.00	

Total Average Score 88.50 75.00

Scoring Team	Davis Farr	Vasquez
AE	91.0	77.0
KC	86.0	73.0
Average Score	88.50	75.00